EXPLORING THE ESSENCE OF LOGICAL POSITIVISM: UNVEILING ITS IMPACT ON THE LANDSCAPE OF PHILOSOPHICAL DISCOURSE

Ahmad Arifin
Setia Rini

State Islamic University of Salatiga
setiarini@uinsalatiga.ac.id

Abstract

The passage transitions to a research exploration, emphasizing the philosophical stream of positivism, its connection with scientific knowledge, and its impact on education. The research methodology is outlined, specifying a qualitative approach through literature review analysis. The historical section delves into logical positivism, its origins, and its influence within the Vienna Circle. The rejection of metaphysics, the classification of statements, and the pursuit of a universal scientific language are highlighted. The role of philosophy in logical positivism is presented as a logical-linguistic activity aimed at clarifying scientific propositions.

Keywords: Intertwined, Historical, Logic, Positivism, Philosophy, Impact

INTRODUCTION

The intertwining of philosophy and science is evident as they constitute two closely linked components. The emergence of science is intricately tied to the influence of philosophy, just as the presence of philosophy is fortified by the progress of science. This connection arises from a shift in thought from myth centric beliefs to logo centric reasoning, where the transition from a reliance on deities to rational contemplation takes place. In essence, humans engage in a profound cognitive process that leads to the abandonment of faith in God, carrying significant implications. The shift from myth centric to logo centric thinking signifies the unveiling of natural laws and scientific theories, giving rise to diverse branches of knowledge centered on nature and humanity. Exploring nature yields disciplines such as astronomy, physics, chemistry, while delving into the study of humans' results in biology, sociology, psychology, and more. The sciences originating from this philosophical evolution undoubtedly bear the imprint of the thought processes and concepts that emerge during the historical exposition of philosophy.(Muhammad Helmi and Sovia Rahmaniah:2020).

From a historical standpoint, philosophy has undergone various transformations, and one notable stream is positivism. Positivism asserts that nature is the sole source of knowledge, disregarding metaphysics. Consequently, this stream places high importance on empirical data for acquiring scientific knowledge. The scientific knowledge obtained through the positivist approach has significantly contributed to the educational process. August Comte, a pivotal figure in positivism, emphasized empirical aspects, and his influence continues to shape the educational journey to the present day. Interestingly, Comte's intention, expressed through positivism, to distance religion from society has played a crucial role in the development of religion, particularly in the realm of religious education, such as Islamic studies. (Imroati Karmillah:2020)

Given the wealth of knowledge accessible today through empirical data (sensory experience), the author is intrigued to discuss the philosophical stream of positivism, its relationship with scientific knowledge, and its impact on education in Indonesia. This exploration seeks to uncover the role played by this philosophical perspective in the ongoing educational trajectory.

RESEARCH METHOD

In essence, research methodology is the systematic and scientific approach employed to gather data for research objectives. This involves a comprehensive understanding of scientific methods, steps, various types, and the limitations inherent in those methods. Simultaneously, research is a deliberate endeavor aimed at acquiring knowledge through verifiable evidence and facts, conducted within a specific scientific and controlled framework (Alfandi, Widoyo:2001).

The primary goals of research encompass gaining new insights or discoveries, validating or testing the accuracy of existing knowledge, and advancing pre-existing knowledge. This particular research focuses on a qualitative method, involving the collection of data through a thorough literature review sourced from journal articles and online publications. Subsequently, the collected data undergoes analysis, with the results interpreted within the context of the research questions or hypotheses. The final steps involve summarizing the principal findings and elucidating their significance (Sugiyono:2017).

FINDINGS

Logical positivism, a philosophical movement originating in Vienna during the 1920s, posited that meaningful knowledge is exclusively derived from scientific inquiry. This intellectual shift was influenced by the progress in logic, mathematics, and mathematical physics in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Notable figures associated with this movement, such as Rudolf Carnap, Otto Neurath, Moritz Schlick, and Hans Hahn, formed the Vienna Circle. Within this circle, the term "logical positivism" was coined, leading to the categorization of statements as either analytic (true a priori) or synthetic (true a posteriori).

The movement drew inspiration from various philosophers and mathematicians who contributed to the evolution of logic and set theory. Many proponents of logical positivism later migrated to the United States, where it gained favor among American philosophers, influencing the English-speaking realm of 'analytic' philosophy. Logical positivism significantly impacted the philosophy of science and language by highlighting the pivotal role

of language in conveying meaning and truth. Despite its waning influence in the 1960s, logical positivism continues to be a significant force in contemporary philosophy and the philosophy of science. The Vienna

Circle, led by Professor Moritz Schlick in Vienna, was a collective of thinkers instrumental in founding the philosophical movement of logical positivism. The term itself was coined within the Vienna Circle, with some members preferring to identify as logical positivists to underscore the synthesis of positivistic-empirical and logical traditions. Conversely, others favored the term 'Vienna Circle' to avoid the perception of excessive reliance on older Positivists like Comte and Mach (Oya, A:2020).

Philosophy delves into the underlying principles that guide human actions. According to Moore and Bruder (2014), philosophy involves the questioning of deeply ingrained beliefs through meticulous reasoning and logic. The core objective of philosophy is to aid us in engaging in deliberate contemplation, aiming to discover the reasons behind our actions. Although philosophy covers a wide range of branches, this paper specifically explores Empiricism, Rationalism, and Positivism—epistemological theories that concentrate on discerning credible sources of knowledge (Nadia Abbas Shah: 2020).

The term "empiricism" denotes a theory that seeks to establish human knowledge and research on experience rather than relying on intuitive or non-experimental forms of understanding. While empiricism has ancient philosophical roots, it gained prominence in the 17th century, with a brief appearance during the era of the early Greek Sophists (Hossain, 2014). This paper argues that discussions on philosophical perspectives, including Empiricism, Rationalism, and Positivism, can offer valuable insights for the field of Library and Information Science (LIS), providing practical guidance for librarians, library users, and researchers. These philosophical conversations are suggested to be beneficial for professionals in their daily practices within libraries, assisting both practitioners and researchers.

Moreover, the influence of the Positivism approach is evident in the routine activities of librarians and library professionals (Radford & Budd, 1997). The adoption of positivist principles in LIS can improve the effectiveness and efficiency of library practices, offering practical advantages for those involved in library services and research.

The philosophical movement of positivism, emerging in the 19th century, was shaped by the natural sciences and advocated for defining science based on methodological procedures that successfully explain and predict natural phenomena. This perspective raised questions about whether the methods of natural science could be applied to the social sciences. According to positivism, understanding human action scientifically implies that the causal laws governing human actions can and should be explained. However, this assertion sparked debates about the role of "verstehen" (empathetic understanding) in the social sciences. While some supported the unity of science but questioned the defining role of scientific explanation, positivists argued that scientific accounts of human actions should focus on objective behavior patterns, rather than empathetic understanding. Despite its influence, the positivistic approach faced criticism, particularly regarding its dismissal of the need for empathetic understanding in science (Comte, Auguste:1857).

Another historical perspective related to the emergence of theories about logical positivism that Einstein's theory of relativity also played a crucial role in the rise of logical positivism. The logical positivists, including influential figures such as Schlick, Reichenbach,

and Carnap, were especially keen on exploring the philosophical implications of both relativity and quantum mechanics. Schlick and Reichenbach wrote essays on relativity, and Carnap's early work delved into the theory of space. Quantum mechanics also became a significant focus for philosophical inquiry for Schlick and Reichenbach. Moreover, the development of formal logic, notably influenced by Gottlob Frege, had a profound impact on shaping the perspective of logical positivism.

During the 1930s, logical positivism became a notable philosophical movement, gaining prominence in the USA and Europe. The movement actively propagated its innovative ideas through various meetings and congresses, addressing topics in epistemology and the philosophy of science. However, their progressive and democratic political stance drew hostility from Nazism, leading to persecution, forced emigration, and tragic outcomes for some members. Numerous logical positivists sought refuge in the United States, where prominent individuals like Carnap, Feigl, Frank, Hempel, and Reichenbach established new academic affiliations.

the marginalization of value-laden philosophy of science by logical empiricists, particularly in the 1950s and early 1960s. They respond to a counterargument by Dewulf, who claims that there was no community of philosophers engaged in value-laden work during that time. The authors dispute this claim and assert that the marginalization of speculative philosophy of science was a prolonged process extending into the 1960s.

Dewulf supports his claim by examining a list of philosophers producing value-laden philosophy of science in the 1950s and 1960s, arguing that only a few were philosophers of science and only one was marginalized. However, the authors clarify that the list was not exclusively of philosophers of science but of those engaged in value-laden philosophy of science. They present additional names and evidence of philosophers doing value-laden work during that period.

One scholar (Katzav, J. 2018) challenge Dewulf's second claim that logical empiricists, including Reichenbach, did not oppose value-laden philosophy of science. They argue that, despite Dewulf's references to unpublished sources, Reichenbach and others associated philosophy of science with logical analysis and were not supportive of empirical and normative orientations. The authors emphasize the lack of support for value-laden work in the funding patterns at the NSF.

Another words, the value-laden philosophy of science was marginalized in the 1950s and 1960s, partially due to the opposition from logical empiricists, as reflected in the NSF funding patterns and the philosophical framework provided by figures like Reichenbach.

in contrast to several influential historical accounts, philosophy of science was already an established sub-discipline within American academic philosophy well before 1950. It predominantly took on a speculative nature, with value-laden philosophy of science playing a significant role. This existing tradition addressed key issues that later became central to analytic philosophy of science, even before the emergence of logical empiricism in the United States. The ascent of logical empiricist philosophy of science in the late 1940s can be attributed, in part, to the marginalization of the earlier American philosophy of science tradition by logical empiricists and the broader community of analytic philosophers. This marginalization was influenced by the prevalent opposition to speculative philosophy among American analytic philosophers. Additionally, the resistance was directed towards the practice of value-laden philosophy of science as it was conducted during that period, rather than stemming solely from

inherent objections to value-laden philosophy in principle. (Joel Katzav:2022)

Before delving into the intricacies of logical positivism, it is crucial to explore its historical origins. The 19th century witnessed the emergence of positivism as a philosophical movement, laying the groundwork for logical positivism with its objective approach to knowledge. Positivism, rooted in empiricism, focused on tangible and factual aspects, rejecting speculative intellectual pursuits. This movement, influencing social and humanities studies, evolved into positivism, which scrutinizes human society through material and empirical lenses.

Positivism, derived from "positive," emphasizes the known, factual, and affirmative. Auguste Comte, credited as the founder of sociology, pioneered positivism, emphasizing the role of the senses in acquiring knowledge and promoting refinement through experimentation. Comte's Social Positivism addressed societal needs, marking the early manifestation of positivism.

In the 20th century, logical positivism emerged amid significant societal changes following World War I. The aftermath of the war led to a movement of societal reconstruction, with debates on reinstating authority based on theological or metaphysical principles. Contrarily, proponents of logical positivism advocated for a foundation grounded in scientific knowledge,viewing societal challenges as scientific problems.(Somantri:2013)

Logical positivism gained prominence within the Vienna Circle, a collective of philosophers striving for a unified science that extended natural science methodologies to the humanities. Key tenets of logical positivism include the rejection of distinctions between natural and social sciences, the classification of unverifiable statements as nonsensical, the pursuit of a universal scientific language, and the perception of philosophy as an analysis of language.

Alfred Jules Ayer, associated with the Vienna Circle, played a significant role in introducing logical positivism to English-speaking audiences. While Comte's positivism focused on social activities, logical positivism, or linguistic positivism, centered on language.

Logical positivism, often called neopositivism, surfaced as a significant philosophical movement within the intellectual environment of the Vienna Circle. The Vienna Circle, a group of philosophers with a shared vision, aimed to establish a comprehensive "unified science." This ambitious initiative sought to apply the methodologies of the natural sciences to encompass the humanities, including the field of philosophy.(K. Bertens :1983) Moritz Schlock founded the Vienna Circle, bringing together individuals from diverse a background, spanning the natural and exact sciences, mathematics, logic, and various scientific disciplines. Key to the ethos of this intellectual movement were principles of positivism, precision, and scientific rigor.

Philosophers of the Vienna Circle, including Ayer, positioned philosophy within empirical parameters, scrutinizing logical positivism and the scientific enterprise through the examination of statements. The essence of pure philosophy, according to logical positivism, lay in the logical dissection of scientific language, removing metaphysical elements and emphasizing empirical content. (A. Sony Keraf dan Mikhael Dua:2001)

Logical positivism aimed to ascertain the meaningfulness of expressions in philosophy and science, shifting focus from the truth-value to semantic coherence. It deliberately circumscribed intellectual inquiries, excluding discussions deemed insignificant, to free philosophy from prolonged and inconclusive debates. In contrast to ancient Greek philosophical traditions, logical positivism considered these traditions as consuming time without providing substantive resolutions, categorizing matters like the existence of God, beyond empirical verification, as vacuous statements.

In philosophy, cultural (historical, sociological) objects are considered crucial, alongside physical and psychological ones, and fall within the domain of cultural sciences. These objects encompass individual events, large-scale occurrences, sociological groups, institutions, cultural movements, as well as properties and relationships associated with these processes and entities.

During the nineteenth century, philosophical attention was insufficiently directed towards recognizing cultural objects as an autonomous category. This oversight resulted from epistemological and logical inquiries focusing predominantly on physics and psychology as paradigmatic subject areas. It wasn't until more recent developments in the history of philosophy, particularly since Dilthey, that the methodological and object-theoretical uniqueness of cultural sciences became emphasized.

Cultural objects, akin to psychological entities, are tied to specific subjects, with individuals within a particular group serving as their "bearers." However, unlike psychological objects, the bearers of cultural items can change; a cultural state or custom may endure even if the original bearers cease to exist and are replaced by others. Moreover, cultural objects do not consist of psychological (let alone physical) components; they exist in entirely separate object realms from physical and psychological entities. Therefore, incorporating a cultural object into a statement about a physical or psychological entity lacks meaningful significance (Rudolf Carnap:1967).

In the subsequent discussion within construction theory, it will be demonstrated how the assertion of the unity of the entire domain of knowledge objects involves deriving ("constructing") all objects from a common basis. The assertion that various object spheres are different signifies the existence of distinct constructional levels and forms. This reconciliation clarifies the apparent opposition between these two positions.

Logical positivists assert that authentic knowledge is exclusively derived from empirical evidence and immediate observational data. Despite being significantly influenced by Kant, they reject Kantian synthetic a priori. They underscore the significance of formal logic, as it allows for the rigorous formalization of the intuitive inferential processes present in ordinary language.

While logical positivism held more prominence in the past, particularly in Great Britain and North America, a "post-empiricist turn" has challenged various aspects of its philosophical perspective. Notably, philosophers like Paul K. Feyerabend have presented views that oppose logical positivism. However, comprehending Feyerabend's ideas necessitates a careful examination of the works of logical positivists, and it is an oversimplification to assert that they are entirely erroneous.

Logical positivists, associated with the Vienna Circle and similar groups, are credited with compelling philosophers to seriously consider science when, in the past, philosophy and

science were often seen as independent. Their basic these include the denial of metaphysics and the reduction of all human knowledge to analytic (a priori) and synthetic (a posteriori) propositions.

According to logical positivism, philosophy is not a speculative discipline but a logicallinguistic activity aimed at clarifying scientific propositions. The philosophers' task is seen as clarifying concepts within empirical and formal sciences, with a focus on linguistic analysis.

The radicalism of logical positivism is rooted in a desire to rebuild philosophy from scratch, influenced by the successes of modern science and formal logic.

Logical positivists challenge metaphysics, particularly targeting idealism prevalent in Austrian and German academic circles in the late 19th century. However, the critique of metaphysics by logical positivists, exemplified by Rudolf Carnap's analysis of statements by Hegel and Heidegger, is deemed vague and misses the diverse connotations of the term "metaphysics." Ayer's remarks in "Language, Truth and Logic" emphasize the critical nature of philosophy but also reveal an implicit empiricist metaphysics, challenging the notion of a unified scientific method for investigating reality.

DISCUSSION

The historical emergence of logical positivism during the 1920s in Vienna marked a significant shift in philosophical thought, emphasizing scientific knowledge as the only meaningful form of knowledge. Influenced by advancements in logic, mathematics, and mathematical physics, key figures associated with the Vienna Circle, such as Rudolf Carnap and Moritz Schlick, played crucial roles in shaping logical positivism. The movement's aim was to apply scientific methodologies universally, blurring the lines between natural and social sciences.

Influence on Philosophy of Science and Language

Logical positivism had a profound impact on the philosophy of science and language. It sought a unified scientific language and rejected distinctions between natural and social sciences. This approach emphasized the importance of language in conveying meaning and truth, leading to the development of a logical-linguistic philosophy. Figures like Alfred Jules Ayer contributed to the dissemination of logical positivism in English-speaking regions, fostering its influence on analytic philosophy.

Logical empiricism, also known as logical positivism, had a profound influence on the philosophy of science and language during the 20th century. This movement emerged in the early 20th century, particularly in Vienna, and gained prominence in the United States and Europe. Here are some key aspects of the influence of logical empiricism on the philosophy of science and language: (Nadia Abbas Shah:2020)

1. Verification Principle:
Logical empiricists, including key figures like Rudolf Carnap and Moritz Schlick, proposed the verification principle as a criterion for meaningful statements. According to this principle, a statement is meaningful only if it can be empirically verified or is analytically true (true by definition).

2. Rejection of Metaphysics:

Logical empiricism vehemently rejected metaphysics, considering metaphysical statements as devoid of empirical content and hence meaningless. This rejection was a response to the perceived speculative and unverifiable nature of metaphysical claims.

3. Unity of Science:

Logical empiricists advocated for the unity of science, aiming to apply the methodologies of the natural sciences to all areas of human knowledge. They sought to create a comprehensive and unified scientific language that could encompass both natural and social sciences.

4. Empirical Basis of Meaning:

The movement emphasized the empirical basis of meaning, asserting that meaningful statements must have a connection to sensory experience. This focus on empirical verification aimed to ensure that statements were grounded in observable and testable phenomena.

5. Language as a Tool:

Logical empiricists viewed language as a tool for expressing empirical observations and formulating scientific theories.

Challenges and Criticisms

The material acknowledges criticisms and challenges faced by logical positivism. The rejection of empathetic understanding in science and the "post-empiricist turn" are discussed, with philosophers like Paul K. Feyerabend presenting opposing views. The critique of metaphysics by logical positivists is noted for its vagueness and failure to encompass diverse connotations, particularly in the analysis of statements by Hegel and Heidegger.

Marginalization of Value-Laden Philosophy of Science:

The narrative shifts to the marginalization of value-laden philosophy of science by logical empiricists in the 1950s and early 1960s. Katzav (2022) challenges opposing claims, asserting that the marginalization was a prolonged process into the 1960s. Dewulf's counterargument regarding value-laden philosophy of science in the 1950s is discussed, with Katzav disputing the claim and presenting additional evidence.

Pre-existence of Philosophy of Science in America:

Contrary to some historical accounts, the material contends that philosophy of science was already an established sub-discipline within American academic philosophy before 1950. This tradition, predominantly speculative and value-laden, addressed key issues central to analytic philosophy of science before the influence of logical empiricism. The rise of logical empiricist philosophy in the late 1940s is attributed, in part, to the marginalization of this earlier Americantradition.

Integration of Logical Positivism with Einstein's Theory

An additional perspective is introduced, highlighting the role of Einstein's theory of relativity in the rise of logical positivism. Logical positivists, including Schlick, Reichenbach, and Carnap, explored the philosophical implications of relativity and quantum mechanics. The development of formal logic, influenced by Frege, also played a crucial role in shaping the perspective of logical positivism.

Philosophy's Role in Logical Positivism

Logical Positivism, also known as Logical Empiricism, was a philosophical movement that emerged in the early 20th century, primarily in Vienna and Berlin. One of the central tenets of Logical Positivism was the verification principle, which held that meaningful statements must either be empirically verifiable or analytically true (true by definition). This movement sought to address the perceived problems with traditional metaphysical and speculative philosophy andaimed to ground philosophy in empirical science and logic.

Philosophy played a crucial role in the development and formulation of Logical Positivism in several ways:

Epistemological Foundation

Logical Positivism was deeply concerned with the nature of knowledge and the justification of beliefs. The movement aimed to establish a firm epistemological foundation for knowledge by emphasizing the importance of empirical evidence and the scientific method.

Verification Principle

At the heart of Logical Positivism was the verification principle, which asserted that a statement is meaningful if and only if it is empirically verifiable or analytically true. This principle aimed to eliminate metaphysical and speculative claims that were considered meaningless or nonsensical. Analytic-Synthetic Distinction

Logical Positivists also engaged with the analytic-synthetic distinction, a concept from earlier philosophy, and sought to refine it. According to Logical Positivists, analytic statements are true by definition, while synthetic statements are empirically verifiable. This distinction played a crucial role in their understanding of meaningful language.

Language and Meaning

Philosophy's role in Logical Positivism included an examination of language and meaning. The movement emphasized the importance of clarifying and analyzing the language used in philosophical discussions. The Vienna Circle, a group associated with Logical Positivism, engaged in linguistic analysis as a method to eliminate linguistic confusion and ensure precise communication.

Unity of Science

Logical Positivism aimed for the unity of science, seeking to integrate various scientific disciplines into a unified framework. This philosophical approach involved addressing issues related to the philosophy of science, such as the nature of scientific theories, explanation, and the demarcation between science and non-science.

The role of philosophy in logical positivism is discussed, emphasizing its logical-linguistic nature aimed at clarifying scientific propositions. The rejection of metaphysics, particularly idealism, is explored, along with the challenge of defining a unified scientific method. Ayer's remarks in "Language, Truth and Logic" are highlighted, revealing an implicit empiricist metaphysics.

Cultural Objects and Construction Theory

The discussion concludes with an exploration of cultural objects within the realm of philosophy. Philosophers like Rudolf Carnap delved into the methodological and objecttheoretical uniqueness of cultural sciences. The role of cultural objects, their subject-bound nature, and their distinction from psychological and physical objects are outlined. The discussion also hints at the reconciliation of apparent opposition between different constructional levels and forms.

In essence, the discussion provides a comprehensive exploration of logical positivism, encompassing its historical origins, influence on philosophy of science and language, relevance in LIS, challenges, criticisms, and the pre-existence of philosophy of science in America. The integration of logical positivism with Einstein's theory and the role of philosophy within this framework are also examined, along with insights into cultural objects and construction theory.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Conclusion

In conclusion, the historical evolution of logical positivism reveals a philosophical movement deeply rooted in the intellectual milieu of the 20th century, shaped by advancements in logic, mathematics, and the natural sciences. Originating in Vienna in the 1920s, logical positivism sought to establish scientific knowledge as the sole meaningful form of knowledge, emphasizing language's role in conveying truth and meaning.

The movement, led by influential figures like Rudolf Carnap, Otto Neurath, Moritz Schlick, and Hans Hahn within the Vienna Circle, categorized statements into analytic and synthetic, drawing inspiration from developments in logic and mathematical physics. The migration of key proponents to the United States facilitated the spread of logical positivism in the Englishspeaking world, particularly in the realms of philosophy of science and language.

Logical positivism's impact extended beyond philosophical discourse, influencing the field of Library and Information Science (LIS). The adoption of positivist principles in LIS aimed to enhance the efficiency of library practices, providing practical benefits for professionals and researchers. Additionally, the movement's connection with the philosophy of science prompted debates on the marginalization of value-laden philosophy, particularly in the 1950s and 1960s.

The emergence of logical positivism was intertwined with historical developments, such as Einstein's theory of relativity, the Vienna Circle's response to societal challenges post-World War I, and the subsequent migration of logical positivists to the United States due to persecution. Despite facing criticism, especially regarding its dismissal of empathetic understanding in the social sciences, logical positivism remained influential and challenged traditional metaphysicaland speculative approaches to philosophy.

The role of philosophy within logical positivism is characterized by a focus on logicallinguistic activities aimed at clarifying scientific propositions. Rejecting metaphysics and emphasizing empirical evidence, logical positivists viewed philosophy as a discipline tasked with analyzing language and concepts within empirical and formal sciences. While the movement experienced a decline in the 1960s, its influence endures in contemporary philosophy and the philosophy ofscience.

In essence, the historical narrative of logical positivism underscores its dynamic engagement with the scientific and philosophical landscape of its time, leaving a lasting imprint on the wayknowledge is perceived, conveyed, and analyzed.

Suggestions

Based on the historical evolution of logical positivism and its enduring influence, several suggestions can be drawn for contemporary scholars, philosophers, and practitioners:

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Encourage interdisciplinary collaboration between philosophy and other fields, especially the natural sciences, mathematics, and information sciences. The success of logical positivism in the 20th century highlights the benefits of cross-disciplinary engagement, fostering a more holistic understanding of knowledge.

Philosophical Reflection in Information Science: Emphasize the role of philosophy in information science and library practices. The adoption of positivist principles in Library and Information Science (LIS) demonstrated practical benefits. Current professionals and researchers in LIS can benefit from philosophical reflections to enhance the efficiency of library practices.

Reassessment of Value-Laden Philosophy: Given the historical debates on the marginalization of value-laden philosophy during the logical positivism era, contemporary philosophers should revisit and reassess the role of values in scientific inquiry. Acknowledging the importance of empathetic understanding alongside empirical evidence can lead to a more comprehensive andnuanced approach in the philosophy of science.

Continued Dialogue on Empirical Methods: Encourage ongoing discussions about the role of empirical methods in philosophy and science. Logical positivism's emphasis on empirical evidence remains relevant, but scholars should engage in a nuanced dialogue about the limitations and possibilities of empirical approaches, considering both their strengths and potential shortcomings.

Education and Awareness: Promote education and awareness about the historical developments and key figures in logical positivism. Understanding the movement's dynamic engagement with its time can provide valuable insights into the evolution of philosophical thought and its impacton contemporary perspectives.

Integration of Linguistic Analysis: Recognize the importance of linguistic analysis in philosophy and science. While logical positivism faced criticisms, its focus on clarifying scientific propositions through linguistic analysis remains a valuable aspect. Contemporary philosophers can integrate linguistic analysis to enhance clarity and precision in their work.

Balancing Speculative and Analytic Approaches: Acknowledge the need for a balanced approach between speculative and analytic methods in philosophy. While logical positivism challenged traditional metaphysical and speculative approaches, contemporary scholars should strive for a synthesis that allows for both rigorous analysis and thoughtful speculation.

Global Perspectives: Recognize the global impact of philosophical movements.

Logical positivism originated in Vienna but spread globally. Contemporary philosophers should consider diverse cultural perspectives and engage in global dialogues to enrich their understanding of philosophical traditions.

In summary, the historical lessons from logical positivism offer valuable insights and suggestions for fostering a vibrant and relevant philosophical discourse in the contemporary intellectual landscape.

REFERENCES

Alfandi, Widoyo. 2001. Epistemologi Geografi. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press

A. Sony Keraf dan Mikhael Dua, (2001) Ilmu Pengetahuan Sebuah Tinjauan Filosofis (Yogyakarta: Kanisius.)

Comte, Auguste (1798–1857); Logical Positivism and Logical Empiricism)

Imroati Karmillah, (2020) "Filsafat Positivisme Dan Pendidikan Islam Di Indonesia,"Murabby: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam 10, no. 1): 181–82

Katzav, J. 2018. "Analytic philosophy,: emergence, management and nature,"British Journal for the History of Philosophy

K. Bertens, (1983) Filsafat Barat Abad XX Inggris-Jerman, p. 166.

Muhammad Helmi and Sovia Rahmaniah, (2020) "Pandangan Filosofis Dan TeologisTentang Hakikat Ilmu Pengetahuan Sebagai Landasan Pendidikan Islam," Tarbiyah Islamiyah:Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Agama Islam 10, no. 2: 38

Nadia Abbas Shah and friends, :2020 The Use of Empiricism, Rationalism and Positivism in Library and Information Science Research Information Science

OYA, A. (2020). An Introduction to Logical Positivism: the Viennese Formulation of the Verifiability Principle.

Rudolf Carnap, (1967), The Logical Structure of The World, Pseudo problems In Philosophy

The rise of logical empiricist philosophy of science and the fate of speculative philosophy of science

Somantri Emma Dysmala, 2013 "Kritik Terhadap Paradigma Positivisme," Jurnal Wawasan Hukum, Vol. 28 p. 626.

Sugiyono, (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung: CV. Alfabeta