



Islamic Social Finance as a Civilizational Mechanism: A Worldview-Guided Framework for Digital Innovation

Khoirul Umam ^{a,1,*}, Imam Kamaluddin ^{b,2}

^a Department Islamic Economic, Faculty Economic and Management, University Darussalam Gontor,
Ponorogo, 63471, Indonesia

^a Department Islamic Economic Law, Faculty Sharia, University Darussalam Gontor,
Ponorogo, 63471, Indonesia

^{1*} khoirulumam@unida.gontor.ac.id, ² imamkamaluddin@unida.gontor.ac.id

*Corresponding Author

ARTICLE INFO:

Article History:

Received: 16 February 2026

Revised: 12 July 2026

Published: 15 September 2026

Keywords:

Islamic Social Finance; Islamic
Worldview; Civilizational
Ethics; *Kufr* and *Syukr*; Zakat and
Waqf; Digital Ethics

Kata Kunci:

Keuangan Sosial Islam; Worldview
Islam; Etika Peradaban; Kufur dan
Syukur; Zakat dan Wakaf; Etika
Digital

ABSTRACT

Islamic Social Finance (ISF) is increasingly framed as a technical instrument for poverty alleviation, welfare provision, and alignment with global development agendas. While such approaches offer operational value, they risk detaching ISF from its metaphysical and civilizational foundations. This paper argues that ISF is more accurately understood as a civilizational mechanism grounded in the Islamic worldview, designed to transform society from a condition of *kufr*, conceptualized here in its moral-sociological sense as the concealment of blessings through hoarding, injustice, dependency, and social fragmentation, towards a condition of *syukr*, characterized by the ethical manifestation of blessings through circulation, dignity, justice, and social cohesion. Drawing upon Islamic metaphysics, moral economy, and civilizational theory, the study conceptualizes Islamic Social Finance as an institutional bridge linking worldview principles with socio-economic order. The paper further examines the implications of rapid digitalization in ISF, including the adoption of artificial intelligence, blockchain, and platform-based governance. It identifies key civilizational risks associated with digital transformation, such as data absolutism, algorithmic bias, dehumanization of worship, and the bureaucratization of compassion. To address these challenges, the study proposes a worldview-guided ethical framework for digital innovation in ISF, grounded in five principles: preservation of meaning (*ḥifẓ al-maʿnā*), human dignity (*karāmah*), justice (*ʿadl*), purification (*tazkiyah*), and stewardship (*istikhlāf*). The paper contributes a novel conceptual foundation for Islamic Social Finance, offering guidance for ethically governing its digital transformation while preserving its civilizational purpose.

ABSTRAK

Keuangan Sosial Islam (ISF) semakin sering dipandang sebagai alat teknis untuk pengentasan kemiskinan, penyediaan kesejahteraan, dan keselarasan dengan agenda pembangunan global. Meskipun pendekatan-pendekatan ini menawarkan nilai operasional, mereka berisiko melepaskan ISF dari dasar-dasar metafisik dan peradabannya. Makalah ini berargumen bahwa ISF lebih tepat dipahami sebagai mekanisme peradaban yang berakar pada pandangan

dunia Islam, dirancang untuk mentransformasi masyarakat dari kondisi *kufr*, yang diartikan di sini dalam konteks moral-sosiologis sebagai penyembunyian berkah melalui penimbunan, ketidakadilan, ketergantungan, dan fragmentasi sosial, menuju kondisi *syukr*, yang ditandai oleh manifestasi etis berkah melalui sirkulasi, martabat, keadilan, dan kohesi sosial. Dengan mengacu pada metafisika Islam, ekonomi moral, dan teori peradaban, studi ini mengonseptualisasikan Keuangan Sosial Islam sebagai jembatan institusional yang menghubungkan prinsip-prinsip pandangan dunia dengan tatanan sosial-ekonomi. Makalah ini juga mengkaji implikasi digitalisasi cepat dalam ISF, termasuk adopsi kecerdasan buatan, blockchain, dan tata kelola berbasis platform. Ia mengidentifikasi risiko peradaban utama yang terkait dengan transformasi digital, seperti absolutisme data, bias algoritmik, dehumanisasi ibadah, dan birokratisasi kasih sayang. Untuk mengatasi tantangan ini, studi ini mengusulkan kerangka etika yang dipandu oleh pandangan dunia untuk inovasi digital dalam ISF, yang didasarkan pada lima prinsip: pelestarian makna (*ḥifẓ al-ma'nā*), martabat manusia (*karāmah*), keadilan (*'adl*), penyucian (*tazkiyah*), dan penguasaan (*istikhlāf*). Makalah ini memberikan landasan konseptual baru untuk Keuangan Sosial Islam, memberikan panduan untuk mengelola transformasi digitalnya secara etis sambil mempertahankan tujuan peradabannya.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

How to cite: Umam, K., & Kamaluddin, I. (2026). Islamic Social Finance as a Civilizational Mechanism: A Worldview-Guided Framework for Digital Innovation. *Iqtishodia: Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah*, 11(2), 26-36. doi: <https://doi.org/10.35897/iqtishodia.v11i2.2430>

INTRODUCTION

Islamic Social Finance (ISF), encompassing zakat, waqf, infaq, and sadaqah, has received increasing attention in recent years as a mechanism for poverty alleviation, financial inclusion, and sustainable development (Hassan, et al., 2023; Dirie, et al., 2024). International organizations, policymakers, and development agencies have promoted ISF as a complementary instrument to state welfare and as a means of achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in Muslim-majority countries (Tok, et al., 2022; Shahid, et al., 2024; Raimi & Bamiro, 2026). Consequently, much of the contemporary literature evaluates ISF through metrics of efficiency, governance quality, institutional performance, and poverty-reduction outcomes (Aida, AbdRahman, & Omar, 2012; Dusuki, 2008; Hassan & Aliyu, 2018).

While these approaches provide valuable empirical insights, they also reveal a deeper conceptual limitation. By framing ISF primarily as a technical or policy-oriented welfare mechanism, much of the literature risks detaching it from its metaphysical, moral, and civilizational foundations (Mazzola, 2024; Chevillon & Kurita, 2026; Kholis, 2026). In the Islamic intellectual tradition, economic institutions are not value-neutral instruments, they are expressions of a worldview that integrates metaphysics, ethics, social order, and spiritual accountability (Furqani, et al., 2020; Mirakhor, et al., 2020; Auda, 2025). Wealth is not an object of absolute ownership but a trust (*amanah*); circulation is not redistribution alone, but purification (*tazkiyah*); and justice (*'adl*) is not a policy goal but the inherent order of creation. When these foundations are marginalized, ISF risks becoming functionally effective yet conceptually hollow, operating as a technocratic welfare apparatus rather than a transformative moral institution (Chevillon & Kurita, 2026; Sholihin, 2026).

This paper argues that Islamic Social Finance must therefore be re-understood as a civilizational mechanism grounded in the Islamic worldview, rather than as a derivative tool of modern development economics. To articulate this claim, the study draws upon Islamic metaphysics, moral economy, and civilizational theory within the Islamic intellectual tradition (Al-Attas, 1995; Chapra, 1993; Khaldun, 2005; Mehmet, 2012; Naqvi, 1981), proposing that Islamic Social Finance functions as an institutional bridge between worldview and social order. Specifically, the paper conceptualizes ISF as a mechanism that transforms society from a condition of *kufr* to a condition of *syukr*.

The concept of *kufr* is employed here in its moral–sociological sense, rather than as a theological judgment. Rooted in its Qur’anic meaning of concealment, *kufr* denotes a societal condition in which blessings are hidden or negated through hoarding, structural injustice, dependency, institutional breakdown, and social fragmentation. A *kufr* society may be materially wealthy yet morally disordered, characterized by inequality, erosion of trust, and weakened social cohesion. In contrast, *syukr* refers not merely to verbal gratitude but to the manifestation of blessings through ethical action, where wealth circulates responsibly, dignity is upheld, justice is institutionalized, and communal solidarity is strengthened. A *syukr* society is thus defined by empowerment rather than dependency, stewardship rather than accumulation, and cohesion rather than fragmentation.

Within this framework, Islamic Social Finance emerges as the institutional mechanism that enables this civilizational transition. Zakat purifies wealth and rectifies inequality; waqf sustains long-term social goods; sadaqah nurtures empathy and cohesion; and qard ḥasan restores economic capability. Together, these instruments operationalize the Islamic worldview within socio-economic life, transforming moral principles into enduring institutions.

However, this civilizational role of ISF faces new challenges in the contemporary digital era. Rapid adoption of digital platforms, artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, and data-driven governance has reshaped how ISF is collected, managed, and distributed. Digitalization promises transparency, efficiency, scalability, and global reach (Johari, 2025; Wadi & Nurzaman, 2020). At the same time, critical scholarship in digital ethics warns that technology is not neutral: algorithmic systems may reproduce structural bias, reduce human beings to data points, and depersonalize moral practices (Floridi, 2023; O’Neil, 2016). For ISF, these risks are not merely technical but philosophical. When acts of giving become frictionless digital transactions, worship risks losing intention (*niyyah*) and reflective depth (Andriyani, et al., 2026). When beneficiaries are classified solely through algorithmic profiling, human dignity (*karāmah*) may be compromised (Maghrebi, 2016; Wijaya, et al., 2025). When compassion is routinized through automated systems, mercy (*rahmah*) risks being replaced by bureaucratic logic. Without worldview-guided governance, digital ISF may inadvertently reproduce a new form of *kufr*, one that conceals blessings through code, data, and technocratic efficiency.

Against this background, this study pursues two interrelated objectives. First, it seeks to conceptualize Islamic Social Finance as a civilizational mechanism that operationalizes the Islamic worldview, framing ISF as a bridge that transforms society from *kufr* (concealment of blessings) to *syukr* (manifestation of blessings) through ethical circulation, justice, and empowerment. Second, it aims to develop a worldview-guided ethical framework for governing digital innovation in Islamic Social Finance, ensuring that technological adoption remains subordinate to spiritual meaning, human dignity, justice, purification, and stewardship.

In pursuing these objectives, the paper critically examines the philosophical risks associated with digitalization, not as standalone concerns, but as analytical steps necessary to safeguard the civilizational integrity of ISF. By integrating Islamic metaphysics, moral economy, civilizational theory, and contemporary digital ethics, the study contributes a novel conceptual foundation for Islamic Social Finance that is simultaneously philosophically rigorous, institutionally relevant, and responsive to the challenges of the digital age.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative conceptual approach grounded in library research (*library research*) and philosophical analysis. The research method is designed to construct a normative-conceptual framework rather than to test empirical hypotheses. The following steps outline the methodological procedure: Islamic Social Finance as a Welfare and Development Instrument. The study adopts a conceptual-normative design that integrates four interrelated bodies of literature: (1) Islamic metaphysics and worldview studies, (2) Islamic moral economy, (3) civilizational theory, and (4) digital ethics. This integrative approach is necessary because the research problem, conceptualizing Islamic Social Finance as a civilizational mechanism and developing an ethical framework for its digital transformation, cannot be adequately addressed within a single disciplinary lens.

The data for this study consist of primary and secondary textual sources: Primary sources: The Qur'an and classical Islamic texts, including works by Al-Attas (1995), Al-Ghazali (2000), Ibn Kathir (2000), Al-Razi (1999), and Ibn Khaldun (2005). Secondary sources: Contemporary scholarly literature on Islamic Social Finance, Islamic moral economy, digital innovation, and critical digital ethics, including works by Chapra (1993, 2016), Naqvi (1981), Mehmet (2012), Floridi (2023), O'Neil (2016), Johari (2025), and Nazeri & Nor (2023). The analysis proceeds through three stages: Conceptual clarification: Key concepts such as *kufr*, *syukr*, *amanah*, *tazkiyah*, *karamah*, *'adl*, and *istikhlaf* are clarified through classical Islamic exegesis and contemporary scholarship. Integrative synthesis: Insights from Islamic metaphysics, moral economy, civilizational theory, and digital ethics are synthesized to construct the *kufr* → ISF → *syukr* civilizational model. Normative framework development: Based on the synthesis, a worldview-guided ethical framework for digital ISF is proposed, consisting of five principles: *hifz al-ma'na*, *karamah al-insan*, *'adl*, *tazkiyah*, and *istikhlaf*. This study is conceptual and normative in nature. It does not conduct empirical testing, field research, or case studies. Instead, it offers a theoretical foundation that can inform future empirical research, policy design, and institutional practice. The scope is limited to the intersection of Islamic worldview, civilizational theory, and digital ethics as they relate to Islamic Social Finance.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Islamic Social Finance as a Civilizational Bridge: From Kufr to Syukr

Building upon the Islamic worldview and ontological foundations outlined in the previous section, this section conceptualizes Islamic Social Finance (ISF) as a civilizational bridge that mediates the transformation of society from a condition of *kufr* to a condition of *syukr*. Importantly, these terms are employed here not as theological classifications of belief, but as moral-sociological categories that describe contrasting civilizational states, consistent with classical Islamic usage of *kufr al-ni'mah* (ingratitude for blessings) in Qur'anic exegesis (Al-Razi, 1999; Ibn Kathir, 2000).

Kufr as a Civilizational Condition: Concealment of Blessings

In its Qur'anic root meaning, *kafara* denotes concealment or covering. Classical exegetes explain that *kufr* is not limited to doctrinal disbelief, but also includes the concealment, denial, or misuse of divine blessings (*ni'mah*) (Al-Aṣṣafhānī, 2009; Ibn Kathīr, 2000). The Qur'an explicitly links *kufr* with injustice, hoarding, and social imbalance, particularly in economic contexts (Q 9:34–35; Q 16:112; Q 104:1–3).

When translated into civilizational terms, *kufr* describes a societal condition in which blessings, material, institutional, and moral, are rendered ineffective through distorted economic structures. Such a society may exhibit material abundance while simultaneously suffering from persistent inequality, concentration of wealth, dependency-oriented welfare systems, erosion of trust, and weakening of social cohesion. As Ibn Khaldūn (1967) observed, excessive accumulation and injustice undermine *asabiyyah* (social solidarity), accelerating civilizational decline (Khaldun, 2005).

Modern welfare systems may inadvertently reproduce this condition when they address poverty through fragmented, consumption-based assistance without restoring dignity or productive capability. Similarly, financial systems that prioritize efficiency and accumulation while neglecting justice and ethical circulation contribute to what can be described as *kufr al-ni'mah*, the concealment of blessings through institutional design rather than individual malice. In this sense, *kufr* represents a failure to activate blessings, not their absence.

Syukr as a Civilizational Condition: Manifestation of Blessings

In contrast, *syukr* signifies the recognition, acknowledgment, and manifestation of blessings through appropriate use. Qur'anic discourse consistently associates *syukr* with increase (*ziyadah*), justice, and social flourishing: "If you are grateful, I will surely increase you" (Q 14:7). Classical scholars emphasize that *syukr* is not confined to verbal gratitude but requires action that aligns with divine purpose (Al-Ghazali, 2000).

At the civilizational level, *syukr* denotes a societal condition in which blessings are translated into ethical structures and institutions. A *syukr* society is characterized by responsible circulation of wealth, institutionalized justice, protection of human dignity (*karamah*), and strong communal bonds. Importantly,

syukr does not imply the absence of poverty, but the presence of mechanisms that continuously restore balance and prevent structural exclusion.

From this perspective, *syukr* represents an active moral orientation embedded in institutions rather than a passive moral sentiment. It is the societal manifestation of stewardship (*istikhlaf*), where resources are mobilized to serve collective well-being and long-term flourishing (Chapra, 1993).

Islamic Social Finance as the Institutional Mediator

Islamic Social Finance operates as the institutional mediator between these two civilizational conditions. It translates metaphysical principles into concrete socio-economic mechanisms that enable the transition from concealment to manifestation.

Zakat functions as a compulsory institution that purifies wealth and rectifies structural inequality by preventing excessive accumulation and ensuring circulation among rightful recipients (Q 9:60). Waqf establishes a perpetual institutional foundation for public goods, securing intergenerational justice and social infrastructure beyond market volatility (Cizakça, 2011). Sadaqah and infaq cultivate empathy and reinforce social trust, while qard hasan supports resilience and productive capability rather than dependency (Chapra, 2016).

These instruments do not merely redistribute resources; they restructure social relations by embedding moral responsibility within economic life. Through ISF, acts of worship (*ibadah*) are transformed into enduring social architecture, ensuring that ethical values are consistently reproduced across generations.

Civilizational Transformation Through ISF

The *kufr* → ISF → *syukr* model highlights that civilizational transformation is neither automatic nor technologically driven. Rather, it depends on institutions that align economic behavior with moral purpose. ISF fulfils this role by ensuring that circulation replaces hoarding, empowerment replaces dependency, and justice replaces exclusion.

Viewed in civilizational terms, ISF functions as the circulatory system of Islamic society, maintaining balance by channeling resources toward social needs while preserving dignity and agency. When ISF institutions are weakened, neglected, or reduced to technocratic welfare tools, the civilizational condition of *kufr* persists, even amid material growth. Conversely, when ISF is properly institutionalized and ethically governed, it enables society to approximate a *syukr* condition marked by justice, cohesion, and sustainable flourishing.

This civilizational framing provides the conceptual foundation for evaluating contemporary transformations in ISF, particularly those introduced by digital innovation. The next section therefore examines how digitalization may either reinforce this transformative role or undermine it if left unguided by Islamic worldview principles.

Digital Innovation in Islamic Social Finance: Opportunities and Civilizational Risks

The rapid diffusion of digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, big data analytics, and platform-based financial systems, has significantly reshaped the landscape of Islamic Social Finance (ISF). Zakat collection and distribution, waqf management, and charitable giving are increasingly mediated through digital platforms, promising improvements in transparency, efficiency, scalability, and cross-border reach (Nazeri & Nor, 2023; Wadi & Nurzaman, 2020). While these developments offer considerable operational advantages, they also raise profound philosophical and civilizational questions that remain insufficiently addressed in existing ISF scholarship.

From an Islamic worldview perspective, technology is not a neutral tool. It embodies assumptions about knowledge, efficiency, human agency, and value. Consequently, digital innovation in ISF must be evaluated not only in terms of functional performance, but also in terms of its impact on meaning (*ma'na*), dignity (*karamah*), justice (*adl*), and stewardship (*istikhlaf*). This section, therefore, examines digitalization in ISF by distinguishing its legitimate opportunities from the civilizational risks it introduces.

Opportunities of Digital Innovation in Islamic Social Finance

Digital technologies offer several tangible benefits for ISF institutions. *First*, blockchain-based systems enhance transparency and accountability by providing immutable records of fund collection and distribution. This directly addresses governance challenges that have historically undermined public trust in zakat and waqf institutions (Nazeri & Nor, 2023). Transparency, in this sense, reinforces the Qur'anic imperative to uphold trust (*amanah*) and justice in financial dealings (Q 4:58).

Second, automation and digital platforms significantly reduce administrative costs and processing time. Digital zakat applications enable real-time calculation, payment, and disbursement, allowing ISF institutions to respond more rapidly to emergencies and crises. This efficiency can increase outreach, particularly among younger and digitally literate populations. *Third*, AI-driven analytics enable more precise identification of beneficiaries by mapping vulnerability patterns, predicting needs, and reducing fraud (Johari, 2025). When properly governed, such tools can help ISF institutions reach marginalized populations that are otherwise excluded from formal financial systems.

Fourth, digital waqf platforms enable innovative models of endowment management, including micro-waqf contributions, cross-border participation, and the sustainable financing of public goods such as education and healthcare (Cizakça, 2011; Wadi & Nurzaman, 2020). These developments revive the historical role of waqf as a cornerstone of Islamic civilization while adapting it to contemporary conditions. Collectively, these opportunities demonstrate that digital innovation can strengthen ISF's institutional capacity and expand its societal impact. However, these benefits are contingent upon ethical governance. Without such governance, digitalization risks undermining the very civilizational role ISF is meant to fulfil.

Civilizational and Philosophical Risks of Digitalization

Despite its operational advantages, digitalization introduces several civilizational risks that challenge the ethical foundations of ISF. These risks are not incidental; they stem from the epistemological and institutional logic embedded within digital systems.

Data Absolutism and Epistemic Reductionism

Digital systems rely heavily on quantification, categorization, and predictive modelling. In ISF contexts, this often translates into algorithmic profiling of beneficiaries based on income thresholds, consumption patterns, and digital footprints. While useful for administrative purposes, such practices risk what may be termed *data absolutism*, the assumption that data exhausts reality.

From an Islamic epistemological perspective, knowledge is not limited to what is measurable. Human dignity, intention, and lived experience cannot be fully captured through data points. Elevating data to the status of ultimate truth risks replacing moral judgment with algorithmic authority, thereby undermining *tawhid* by implicitly attributing normative power to technology (Al-Attas, 1995; Floridi, 2023).

Algorithmic Bias and Structural Injustice

AI systems are trained on historical data that often reflects existing inequalities. As critical digital ethics scholarship demonstrates, algorithms can reproduce and amplify structural bias while appearing neutral (O'Neil, 2016). In ISF, this may result in the exclusion of individuals with limited digital presence, such as rural populations, informal workers, women, or refugees, from assistance.

Such outcomes directly contradict the Qur'anic command to uphold justice, even in the face of systemic pressures (Q 4:135). When algorithmic efficiency overrides moral discernment, injustice (*zulm*) becomes institutionalized rather than corrected.

Dehumanization of Worship and Moral Practice

Digital platforms often prioritize frictionless transactions. While efficiency is desirable, the reduction of zakat and sadaqah to instantaneous digital payments risks stripping acts of giving of intention (*niyyah*), reflection, and empathy. Classical Islamic scholarship emphasizes that acts of worship (*ibadah*) derive their value not merely from outward compliance, but from inner consciousness and moral orientation (Al-Ghazali, 2000).

When giving becomes habitual, gamified, or automated, ISF risks devolving into a transactional system devoid of spiritual depth. This represents a subtle but significant erosion of ISF's civilizational role.

Techno-Bureaucratization of Compassion

Digital governance systems often standardize decision-making through workflows, eligibility scores, and automated approvals. While this enhances consistency, it may also depersonalize compassion. Beneficiaries risk being treated as cases rather than persons, and care becomes an administrative function rather than a moral encounter. Islamic ethics emphasizes *rahmah* (mercy) and *ihsan* (excellence) as relational virtues that require human presence and moral sensitivity. When compassion is bureaucratized, these virtues are difficult to sustain.

Digitalization as a Civilizational Test

The foregoing analysis suggests that digital innovation is not merely a technical development, but a civilizational test for Islamic Social Finance. Technology may either reinforce ISF's role as a bridge from *kufr* to *syukr*, by enhancing circulation, justice, and empowerment, or undermine it by reproducing exclusion, depersonalization, and moral hollowing under the guise of efficiency.

Thus, the central question is not whether Islamic Social Finance should adopt digital technologies, but under what worldview-grounded ethical conditions such adoption should occur. Digital tools must remain servants of moral purpose, not determinants of it. This insight necessitates a principled framework capable of governing innovation in a manner consistent with Islamic metaphysics and civilizational objectives.

A Worldview-Guided Ethical Framework for Digital Innovation in Islamic Social Finance

The preceding section has shown that digital innovation in Islamic Social Finance (ISF) presents both significant opportunities and serious civilizational risks. To ensure that technological adoption reinforces rather than undermines ISF's role as a bridge from *kufr* to *syukr*, digital transformation must be governed by a framework rooted in the Islamic worldview. This section proposes a worldview-guided ethical framework for digital ISF, derived from Islamic metaphysics, moral philosophy, and civilizational principles. Rather than offering technical compliance standards, the framework establishes normative boundaries within which innovation must operate.

Ethical Premise: Technology as Subordinate to Meaning

In the Islamic worldview, tools and techniques (*wasai'il*) are morally evaluated according to the ends (*maqasid*) they serve. Technology, therefore, is not autonomous; it is ethically subordinate to meaning, justice, and stewardship. As al-Attas (1995) emphasizes, the loss of proper ordering (*adab*) occurs when means are elevated above ends. Applied to ISF, this principle requires that digital systems remain servants of worship, dignity, and justice, not their replacements (Al-Attas, 1995).

Accordingly, the ethical framework proposed here rests on five interrelated principles: *hifz al-ma'na*, *karamah al-insan*, *'adl*, *tazkiyah*, and *istikhlaf*. Together, these principles ensure that digital ISF remains aligned with its civilizational purpose.

Hifz al-Ma'na (Preservation of Meaning)

The first ethical principle is the preservation of meaning (*hifz al-ma'na*). Islamic Social Finance is inseparable from worship (*'ibadah*), intention (*niyyah*), and moral consciousness. Digital platforms that reduce zakat or sadaqah to frictionless transactions risk hollowing out this meaning. Classical scholars, most notably al-Ghazali (2000), stress that the value of acts of worship depends not merely on outward form but on inward orientation (Al-Ghazali, 2000).

Ethically governed digital ISF systems must therefore cultivate intentionality and reflection. Design choices should reinforce awareness of moral purpose, rather than trivialize giving through automation or gamification. Preservation of meaning ensures that efficiency does not come at the cost of spiritual depth.

Karamah al-Insan (Human Dignity)

Human dignity (*karamah*) occupies a central position in Islamic ethics (Q 17:70). Any digital system that classifies, ranks, or profiles beneficiaries must therefore be evaluated by its impact on dignity. Algorithmic reduction of individuals to data categories risks depersonalization and humiliation, particularly for vulnerable populations.

A worldview-guided ISF framework requires that digital systems protect confidentiality, avoid stigmatizing labels, and preserve the moral agency of recipients. Beneficiaries are not objects of management but moral subjects deserving respect. This principle directly counters the tendency toward techno-bureaucratization identified in digital innovation in Islamic Social Finance.

‘Adl (Justice)

Justice (*‘adl*) is the normative core of Islamic socio-economic order (Q 57:25). In digital ISF, justice requires more than procedural fairness; it demands vigilance against structural bias embedded in algorithms and data systems. As critical digital ethics literature demonstrates, algorithmic neutrality is often illusory (O’Neil, 2016).

An ethical ISF framework therefore mandates transparency, accountability, and human oversight in algorithmic decision-making. Efficiency must never override equity. Where digital systems risk excluding marginalized groups, corrective mechanisms grounded in moral judgment must prevail.

Tazkiyah (Purification of Wealth and Institutions)

Tazkiyah refers not only to the purification of wealth through zakat, but also to the purification of institutions and intentions. Digitalization introduces new risks of corruption, misuse of data, and instrumentalization of charity for reputational or political gain. Without ethical governance, digital ISF may purify transactions while corrupting institutions.

A worldview-guided framework therefore requires institutional transparency, ethical data stewardship, and governance structures that prevent the commodification of compassion. Purification must operate at both individual and systemic levels.

Istikhlaf (Stewardship and Civilizational Responsibility)

Finally, *istikhlaf* situates digital ISF within a long-term civilizational horizon. Humans are stewards (*khulafa*) entrusted with sustaining justice and balance across generations (Q 2:30). Digital innovation must therefore be evaluated not only by short-term efficiency but by its contribution to sustainable empowerment, social cohesion, and moral continuity.

Technologies that create dependency, erode human agency, or privilege short-term optimization over long-term flourishing contradict the principle of stewardship. Conversely, innovations that strengthen capability, resilience, and dignity align with ISF’s civilizational mission.

Together, these five principles form a coherent ethical framework that governs digital innovation in Islamic Social Finance. They ensure that technology enhances, rather than displaces, the metaphysical and moral foundations of ISF. By preserving meaning, protecting dignity, upholding justice, purifying institutions, and safeguarding stewardship, digital ISF can remain a transformative mechanism guiding society from *kufr*, the concealment of blessings, toward *syukr*, their ethical manifestation.

CONCLUSION

The primary contribution of this paper lies in its integration of Islamic metaphysics, civilizational theory, and digital ethics into a coherent conceptual framework for Islamic Social Finance. By repositioning ISF as a worldview-grounded institution and by articulating ethical conditions for its digital transformation, the study offers a foundation for future scholarship, policymaking, and institutional design. Ultimately, the effectiveness of Islamic Social Finance should not be measured solely by economic outcomes, but by whether it succeeds in cultivating societies that embody *syukr*: societies in which blessings circulate, dignity is preserved, justice is institutionalized, and moral purpose guides technological progress.

This study has argued that Islamic Social Finance (ISF) should not be understood merely as a technical instrument for poverty alleviation, welfare provision, or development financing. Rather, when grounded in the Islamic worldview, ISF functions as a civilizational mechanism that translates metaphysical principles into institutional structures capable of transforming society. By conceptualizing ISF through the *kufri*, ISF become *syukr* model, the paper reframes zakat, waqf, and related instruments as mechanisms that move society from a condition of concealed blessings, manifested in hoarding, injustice, dependency, and social fragmentation, toward a condition in which blessings are ethically manifested through circulation, dignity, justice, and social cohesion to address this challenge, the study proposed a worldview-guided ethical framework for digital innovation in ISF, grounded in five interrelated principles: preservation of meaning (*hifz al-ma'nā*), protection of human dignity (*karāmah*), justice (*'adl*), purification (*tazkiyah*), and stewardship (*istikhlāf*). This framework establishes normative boundaries that ensure technology remains subordinate to moral purpose, rather than redefining it. By anchoring digital ISF within these principles, technological innovation can reinforce, rather than erode, ISF's civilizational role.

However this study acknowledge limitations. Further study should add more issues to address the challenges of concealed blessings, manifested in hoarding, injustice, dependency, and social fragmentation in practical study and quantitative design.

Author's Contributions

Khoirul Umam: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing original draft, review & editing.

Imam Kamaluddin: Research method, validation, review & editing.

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the support and cooperation of all participants who contributed to this study. Constructive feedback from academic colleagues is also appreciated. This research was conducted for academic purposes and did not receive any specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit organizations.

Declarations of Ethical Considerations

Participation in the study was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the interviews. Participants' identities were anonymized using respondent codes to ensure confidentiality.

Use of artificial intelligence (AI)

The authors declare that no generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the preparation, analysis, or writing of this manuscript

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any financial support.

REFERENCE

- Abdullah, M. (2018). Waqf , Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and maqasid al-shariah. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 45(1), 158–172. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-10-2016-0295>
- Aida, F., AbdRahman, R., & Omar, N. (2012). Zakat and Poverty Alleviation : Roles of Zakat Institutions in Malaysia. *International Journal of Arts and Commerce*, 1(7), 61–72. Retrieved from https://d1wgtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/72344517/Zakat_and_Poverty_Alleviation_Roles_of_Z20211013-8106-7u9rkg.pdf?1738438449=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DZakat_and_Poverty_Alleviation_Roles_of_Z.pdf
- Andriyani, A., Andrarasmara, A. A., Aryani, E., & Hasya, A. (2026). Right, Not Reward: A Doctrinal Boundary for Managerial Tools in Zakat Governance. *Journal of Economics, Entrepreneurship, Management*

- Business and Accounting*, 4(5), 80-109. <https://doi.org/10.61255/jeemba.v4i5.1667>
- Auda, J. (2025). Maqasid for Re-envisioning Islamic higher education for sustainability and socio-economic development. *Islamic Finance and Sustainable Development: Balancing Spirituality, Values and Profit*, 8-27. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=en&lr=&id=r39BEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1983&dq=In+the+Islamic+intellectual+tradition,+economic+institutions>
- Al-Aṣṣḥānī, A. R. (2009). *Al-mufradāt fī gharīb al-Qurʿān*. Bairut. Dār al-Qalam.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1995). *Prolegomena to The Metaphysics of Islam: An Exposition of the Fundamental Elements of the Worldview of Islam*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization.
- Al-Ayubi, S., Ascarya, & Possumah, B. T. (2018). Examining the Efficiency of Zakat Management : Indonesian Zakat Institutions Experiences. *Examining the Efficiency of Zakat Management: Indonesian Zakat Institutions Experiences*, 3(1), 37–55. <https://doi.org/10.37706/ijaz.v3i1.66>
- Al-Ghazālī, A. H. (2000). *Iḥyāʾ ʿulūm al-dīn*, Vol. 1. Beirut. Dār al-Minhāj.
- Al-Rāzī, F. al-D. (1999). *Tafsīr al-kabīr (Mafātīḥ al-ghayb)*. Makkah. Dār Iḥyāʾ al-Turāth al-ʿArabī.
- Chapra, M. U. (1993). *Islam and Economic Development: A Strategy for Development with Justice and Stability*. International Institute of Islamic Thought. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=RtDrAAAAMAAJ>
- Chapra, M. U. (2016). *The Future of Economics: An Islamic Perspective*. Kube Publishing Limited. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=0NGpDAAAQBAJ>
- Chevillon, G., & Kurita, T. (2026). Testing Temperature Controllability: A Cointegration Approach to Climate Policy Evaluation. Available at SSRN 7197514. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=7197514
- Cizakça, M. (2011). *Islamic capitalism and finance: Origins, evolution and the future*. United Kingdom. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Dirie, K. A., Alam, M. M., & Maamor, S. (2024). Islamic social finance for achieving sustainable development goals: a systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 40(4), 676-698. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-12-2022-0317>
- Dusuki, A. W. (2008). Understanding the objectives of Islamic banking : a survey of stakeholders ' perspectives. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 1(2), 132–148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538390810880982>
- Floridi, L. (2023). *The ethics of artificial intelligence*. Oxford University Press. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198883098.001.0001>
- Furqani, H., Adnan, G., & Mulyany, R. (2020). Ethics in Islamic economics: microfoundations for an ethical endogeneity. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 36(3), 449-463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-03-2020-0032>
- Hassan, M. K., & Aliyu, S. (2018). A Contemporary Survey of Islamic Banking Literature. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 34(2), 12–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2017.11.006>
- Hassan, M. K., Rana, M. S., Alam, M. R., & Banna, H. (2023). Revitalizing the role of Islamic social finance in achieving the SDGs: A comprehensive review. *Al Qasimia University Journal of Islamic Economics*, 3(2), 1-24. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Md-Sohel-Rana-4/publication/376482129_Revitalizing_the_Role_of_Islamic_Social_Finance_in_Achieving_the_SDGs.pdf
- Ibn Kathīr, I. (2000). *Tafsīr al-Qurʿān al-ʿaẓīm*. Beirut. Dār Ṭayyibah.
- Johari, M. H. Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence in Zakat and Waqf management: Enhancing fund utilisation for tourism initiatives. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-10-2024-0385>
- Khaldun, I. (2005). *THE MUQODDIMAH An Introduction to History The Classic Islamic History of The World*. (F. Rosenthal & N. J. Dawood, Eds.) (New introd). Princeton, New Jersey. Princeton University Press.
- Kholis, N. (2026). CoreTax-based zakat and tax integration: Synergizing Islamic public finance and national fiscal governance in Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Economics Lariba*, 12(1), 905-938. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jielariba.vol12.iss1.art32>
- Maghrebi, N., Mirakhor, A., & Iqbal, Z. (2016). *Intermediate Islamic Finance*. John Wiley & Sons. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=en&lr=&id=LuCOCQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=these+risks+>

[are+not+merely+technical+but+philosophical](#)

- Mazzola, F. (2024). Community policing in areas of limited statehood: The case of Lebanon. *Mediterranean Politics*, 29(5), 668-699. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13629395.2023.2195545>
- Mehmet, A. (2012). Frontier of Islamic Economics and Finance: New Challenges Conceptualising and Locating the Social Failure of Islamic Finance : Aspirations of Islamic Moral Economy vs the Realities of Islamic Finance. *Asian and African Studies*, 11(2), 93–113. Retrieved from <https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp/server/api/core/bitstreams/d78d6486-386f-42b2-9b1b-0bd043c55a98/content>
- Mirakhor, A., Iqbal, Z., & Sadr, S. K. (Eds.). (2020). *Handbook of ethics of Islamic economics and finance* (Vol. 5). Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=en&lr=&id=8BTzDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=In+the+Islamic+intellectual+tradition,+economic+institutions>
- Mohieldin, M., Iqbal, Z., Rostom, A., & Fu, X. (2011). *The Role of Islamic Finance in Enhancing Financial Inclusion in Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) Countries* (Policy Research Working Paper No. 5920). Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2089793
- Naqvi, S. N. H. (1981). *Ethics and Economics: An Islamic Synthesis*. Islamic Foundation. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=IY0KAQAAMAAJ>
- Nazeri, A. N. N. binti, & Nor, S. M. (2023). The Implementation of Blockchain Technology in the Zakat Management System: A Conceptual Research. *Journal of Information System and Digital Technologies*, 5(2), 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.31436/ijsdt.v5i2.419>
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. Crown. Retrieved from <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=NqEwCwAAQBAJ>
- Raimi, L., & Bamiro, N. B. (2026). Role of Islamic sustainable finance in promoting green entrepreneurship and sustainable development goals in emerging Muslim economies. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 53(2), 181-196. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-05-2024-0408>
- Shahid, M., Sulub, Y. A., Mohtesham, M. M. J., & Abdullah, M. (2024). Analyzing the commonalities between Islamic social finance and sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 40(2), 381-394. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-04-2022-0082>
- Sholihin, M. (2026). Rethinking economic decision-making: an Islamic conceptual framework. *International Review of Economics*, 73(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12232-026-00529-x>
- Tok, E., Yesuf, A. J., & Mohamed, A. (2022). Sustainable development goals and Islamic social finance: From policy divide to policy coherence and convergence. *Sustainability*, 14(11), 6875. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116875>
- Wadi, D. A., & Nurzaman, M. S. (2020). Millennials Behaviour towards Digital Waqf Innovation. *International Journal of Islamic Economic and Finance*, 3(2), 1–30. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.18196/ijief.3232>
- Wahab, N. A., & Rahim Abdul Rahman, A. (2011). A framework to analyse the efficiency and governance of zakat institutions. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2(1), 43–62. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811111129508>
- Wijaya, M. F. F., Tualeka, W. N., Muhsinin, M., & Al Afnan, A. G. (2025). Beyond Algorithmic Spirituality: An Islamic Ontological Framework for Digital Religious Practice. *Khazanah Theologia*, 7(1), 95-120. <https://doi.org/10.15575/kt.v7i1.49492>